



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/912,971 | 07/25/2001 | Alexander Aschir | 112740-263 | 6538 |
| 29177 | 7590 | 12/15/2003 | EXAMINER | |
| BELL, BOYD & LLOYD, LLC P. O. BOX 1135 CHICAGO, IL 60690-1135 | | | ANWAH, OLISA | |
| | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | 2645 | | |
| DATE MAILED: 12/15/2003 | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Office Action Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| | 09/912,971 | ASCHIR, ALEXANDER |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Olisa Anwah | 2645 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 October 2003 .

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-7,10 and 11 is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-4,8 and 9 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.

If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).

a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ .
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The term "outside a network" in claim 1 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "outside a network" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-4 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C § 103(a) as being anticipated by Miner et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,047,053 (hereinafter Miner) in view of Liffick, U.S. Patent No. 6,421,439 (hereinafter Liffick).

Art Unit: 2645

Regarding claim 1, Miner discloses a method for establishing a communication connection between a person seeking communication and a communication partner to whom a personal communication is allocated, the method comprising the steps of address the personal communication address of the communication partner; allocating an individual identification code of at least one communication terminal to the personal communication address depending upon time; reading out a schedule store of the communication partner information about which individual identification code is allocated to the personal communication address of the communication partner at the time and establishing the communication connection to the at least one communication terminal having the individual identification code (columns 32-38).

Miner does not teach the schedule store being administered by an appointments book program operated on a computer that is arranged outside a communication network via which the person seeking communication and the communication partner can be connected. However Liffick teaches this limitation (see 136 from Figure 2 and column 6). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Miner with the computer taught by Liffick. This

modification allows for a schedule store to be accessed via the Internet as suggested by Liffick.

Regarding claims 2-4, see columns 35 and 36 of Miner.

Regarding claim 9, see Figures 1-5 of Miner.

4. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miner combined with Liffick in further view of the Publication "Parlay APIs 2.1 Connectivity Manager Class Diagrams" of June 26 2000 (hereinafter Parlay).

Regarding claim 8, the combination of Miner and Liffick does not disclose a method wherein the computer and the communications network are connected via an interface operated in accordance with specifications of a Parlay Group. However Parlay discloses this method (see pages 4-15). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the combination of Miner and Liffick with the method taught by Parlay. This modification allows for interfaces that are network independent and extensible as suggested by Parlay (page 4) and Liffick.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are deemed to be moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olisa Anwah whose telephone number is 703-305-4814. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8.30 AM to 6 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan Tsang can be reached on 703-305-4895. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

O.A.
Olisa Anwah
Patent Examiner
December 8, 2003

FAN TSANG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

